THE SIXTY-SECOND ANNUAL MICHIGAN MATHEMATICS PRIZE COMPETITION

Part II

Solutions

- 1.i. When x = 1, E coincides with B, and |AG| = |BG| = 1 = |AB|; so $\triangle ABG$ is equilateral and $\angle GBA = \angle GAB = 60^{\circ}$. Consequently, $\angle GBC = \angle GAD = 30^{\circ}$, and $\angle FAB = 30^{\circ}$ by symmetry; then $\angle FAG = 90^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 30^{\circ} = 30^{\circ}$. It follows that Region I and Region II are congruent, and therefore r(1) = 1.
- 1.ii. We show that $r(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$ ($0 < x \le 1$). In fact, we have $\angle GAB = \angle AGB$ because $\triangle GAB$ is isosceles with base GA; hence $\angle GAB = \frac{1}{2}(180^\circ - \angle GBA) = \frac{1}{2}(180^\circ - (90^\circ - \angle GBC)) = 45^\circ + \frac{1}{2}\angle GBC$. Then $\angle FAB = \angle GAD = 90^\circ - \angle GAB = 45^\circ - \frac{1}{2}\angle GBC$. It derives that $\angle GAF = 90^\circ - \angle FAB - \angle GAD = 90^\circ - 2(45^\circ - \frac{1}{2}\angle GBC) = \angle GBC$. Therefore the chords GC and GF correspond to equal central angles in circle Γ_1 and Γ_3 , respectively. It follows that the two regions are similar, and the ratio r(x) of their areas equals to the square of |GC|/|GF|. Noticing that |GC|/|GF| equals to the ratio of the radii of circle Γ_1 and circle Γ_3 , we obtain $r(x) = (\frac{1}{x})^2 = \frac{1}{x^2}$.
- 2.i. Because $\deg(v_3) = 3$, v_3 knows v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 , and v_1 only knows v_3 because $\deg(v_1) = 1$. It follows that v_2 knows v_3 and v_4 due to $\deg(v_2) = 2$. Then v_4 knows v_2 and v_3 only, and hence $\deg(v_4) = 2$.
- 2.ii. We use the mathematical induction on k with n = 4k. The base case k = 1 is true by the previous question. Suppose that the result holds for some $k \ge 1$, and consider a party $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{4k+4}\}$ with n = 4(k+1) and $\deg(v_i) = i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, 4k + 3$. Note that v_{4k+3} knows everyone else in the party, and then v_1 only knows v_{4k+3} ; so if we drop out v_{4k+3} and v_1 from the party, the degrees for $v_2, \ldots, v_{4k+1}, v_{4k+2}$ becomes $1, 2, \ldots, 4k, 4k + 1$ in the party V' = $\{v_2, \ldots, v_{4k+2}, v_{4k}\}$. Now v_{4k+2} knows everyone else in V', and therefore v_2 only knows v_{4k+2} in V'. We further drop out v_2 and v_{4k+2} from V', then the degrees for v_3, \ldots, v_{4k+1} become $1, 2, \ldots, 4k - 1$ in $V'' = \{v_3, \ldots, v_{4k+1}, v_{4k}\}$. Now apply the induction assumption on V'', we derive that v_{4k} knows 4k/2 = 2k people in V''; so $\deg(v_{4k}) = 2k + 2 = n/2$ in the original party V because v_{4k} also knows v_{4k+2} and v_{4k+3} ; hence the conclusion holds for n = 4(k+1). By mathematical induction, the result holds for any n = 4k.
- 3.i. Any ordered pair (a, b) in the regions $\{(a, b) \mid a > 1, b \ge 2a + \sqrt{5}\}$ or $\{(a, b) \mid a < -1, b \le 2a \sqrt{5}\}$ works. But since we only need an example, we could choose those that are easier to justify. For example, pick (a, b) = (2, 7); then $0 < a + \cos x \le 3, b + \sin x \ge 6$, and $f(x) \ge 6/3 = 2$.

3.ii. First solution. We regard f(x) as the slope of the line passing the point P(-a, -b) and a moving point $(\cos x, \sin x)$ on the unit circle. Since a > 1, P lies outside the unit circle to the left side of the vertical line x = -1. Let PA, PB be the tangent lines from P to the unit circle with points of tangency A and B, respectively; as illustrated in the graph below. Then the range of the function f(x) is exactly the set of numbers between the slopes (inclusive) of the lines PA and PB. Therefore PA has slope -1, and PB has slope 1. It derives that OAPB is a square with side length 1, and P must be on the x-axis with $|OP| = \sqrt{|PA|^2 + |OA|^2} = \sqrt{2}$. Therefore the coordinate of P is $(-\sqrt{2}, 0)$, or $a = \sqrt{2}$, b = 0.



Second solution. Let $y = \frac{b + \sin x}{a + \cos x}$, we have that $y \cos x - \sin x = b - ay$ or

$$\sqrt{1+y^2}\sin(x+\theta) = b - ay \tag{1}$$

with θ some angle depending on y. It follows that $|b - ay| \le \sqrt{1 + y^2}$ or, equivalently,

$$(a^2 - 1)y^2 - 2aby + b^2 - 1 \le 0 \tag{2}$$

We see that if y is given and (2) holds, then we can always find x to satisfy (1), and conversely, if (1) holds for some x, then (2) holds. It follows that the solution set to (2) is the range of the function f(x), which is [-1, 1] as given in the problem. Since $a^2 - 1 > 0$ due to a > 1, the solution set to (2) is the closed interval bounded by the two roots of $(a^2 - 1)y^2 - 2aby + b^2 - 1 = 0$. Therefore the two roots are ± 1 ; so $2ab/(a^2 - 1) = 1 + (-1) = 0$, and $(b^2 - 1)/(a^2 - 1) = (1)(-1) = -1$. We then get $a = \sqrt{2}$ and b = 0.

- 4.i. If $120 = a^2 b^2$ for some positive odd numbers a and b, then a > b and 120 = (a b)(a + b). Because a b, a + b are both even, and $\frac{a-b}{2} + \frac{a+b}{2} = a$ is odd, one of $\frac{a-b}{2}$ and $\frac{a+b}{2}$ must be odd. So one of a b and a + b is of the form 4k + 2 for some nonnegative integer k. We then find the qualified factorizations of 120 as $120 = 2 \cdot 60 = 6 \cdot 20 = 10 \cdot 12 = 4 \cdot 30$, and obtain correspondingly that $120 = 31^2 29^2 = 13^2 7^2 = 11^2 1^2 = 17^2 13^2$. So f(120) = 4.
- 4.ii. Let x = 8k for some positive integer k. We first show that f(x) is the number of positive odd factors of x. If $8k = (2r+1)^2 (2s+1)^2 = 4(r+s+1)(r-s)$ for some $r > s \ge 0$, then (r+s+1)(r-s) = 2k. Note that $r+s+1 \ge r+1 > r \ge r-s$, and r+s+1, r-s are of different parity, so one of them must be an odd factor of 2k. Conversely, if we have ab = 2k with a > b and one of a, b odd (the other then is even), then r+s+1 = a, r-s = b gives r = (a+b-1)/2, s = (a-b-1)/2, which are both nonnegative integers that satisfy $8k = (2r+1)^2 (2s+1)^2$. It follows that f(x) is the number of positive odd factors of 2k, which is the same as the number of positive odd factors of x. So f(x) = 1 if x has no odd prime factors, and $f(x) = (\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_l + 1)$ if $x = 2^{\alpha_0} p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}$ with $\alpha_0 \ge 3$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l \ge 1$, l > 0 and p_1, \ldots, p_l are distinct positive odd primes.

Since $8 = 1 \cdot 8 = 2 \cdot 4 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$, x has the form $2^{\alpha_0} p_1^7$, $2^{\alpha_0} p_1 p_2^3$, or $2^{\alpha_0} p_1 p_2 p_3$ if f(x) = 8, where $\alpha_0 \ge 3$, p_1, p_2, p_3 are distinct positive odd primes. To make x small, we of course put $\alpha_0 = 3$, and choose p_1, p_2, p_3 as small as possible. Comparing $3^7, 3 \cdot 5^3, 3^3 \cdot 5$, and $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, we see that $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$ is the minimum among the four numbers, and therefore the smallest possible x for which f(x) = 8is $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 840$.

- 4.iii. By the above formula, we see that $f(2^3 \cdot p^{\alpha-1}) = \alpha$ for any odd positive prime p and $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots$, which gives the result.
 - 5. First proof. For any positive integer k, write $k = 2^{\alpha_k} \beta_k$ such that β_k is an odd integer, and α_k is an nonnegative integer; clearly this representation is unique by the prime factorization theorem.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $n = 2^m$ for some integer m > 0, and let $1 \le r \le n - 1$. Then $\alpha_k < m$ for all $1 \le k \le r$. It follows that $\frac{n-k}{k} = \frac{2^m - 2^{\alpha_k} \beta_k}{2^{\alpha_k} \beta_k} = \frac{2^{m-\alpha_k} - \beta_k}{\beta_k}$, with the last fraction having both the numerator and the denominator odd $(1 \le k \le r - 1)$, and $\frac{n}{r} = \frac{2^m}{2^{\alpha_r} \beta_r} = \frac{2^{m-\alpha_r}}{\beta_r}$, with the last fraction having an even numerator and an odd denominator. It follows that

$$C_{n,r} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)}{r!} = \frac{n}{r} \times \frac{n-1}{1} \times \dots \times \frac{n-r+1}{r-1}$$

can be written as a fraction having an even numerator and an odd denominator. Since C(n,r) is an integer, it then must be even. Necessity. Suppose that $n \ge 2$ is not a power of 2. We need to show that there is r with $1 \le r \le n-1$ such that $C_{n,r}$ is odd. Let $m \ge 1$ be the largest integer such that $2^m < n$, and let $r = n - 2^m$. Then $1 \le r < 2^m \le n - 1$. We claim that $C_{n,r}$ is odd. In fact, for all $1 \le k \le r$, we have $\alpha_k < m$, and therefore $\frac{2^m+k}{k} = \frac{2^m+2^{\alpha_k}\beta_k}{2^{\alpha_k}\beta_k} = \frac{2^{m-\alpha_k}+\beta_k}{\beta_k}$ with the last fraction having both the numerator and the denominator odd. So

$$C_{n,r} = C_{2^m + r,r} = \frac{(2^m + 1)\cdots(2^m + r)}{r!} = \frac{2^m + 1}{1} \times \cdots \times \frac{2^m + r}{r}$$

can be written as a fraction having both the numerator and the denominator odd. Since $C_{n,r}$ is an integer, it then must be odd.

Second proof. For two polynomials $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0$ and $g(x) = b_n x^n + \cdots + b_0$ with integer coefficients, we say that $f(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{2}$ if $a_i \equiv b_i \pmod{2}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then the problem becomes that $(1+x)^n \equiv 1+x^n \pmod{2}$ $(n \geq 2)$ iff n is a power of 2. In the following we use the fact that $f_1(x) \equiv g_1(x) \pmod{2}$ and $f_2(x) \equiv g_2(x) \pmod{2}$ imply $f_1(x)f_2(x) \equiv g_1(x)g_2(x) \pmod{2}$.

Sufficiency. We use the mathematical induction on m when $n = 2^m$. When $m = 1, 2^m = 2$, and $(1 + x)^2 = 1 + 2x + x^2 \equiv 1 + x^2 \pmod{2}$, so the result holds. Now suppose that $(1 + x)^{2^m} \equiv 1 + x^{2^m} \pmod{2}$ for some integer $m \ge 1$, then $(1 + x)^{2^{m+1}} = [(1 + x)^{2^m}]^2 \equiv [1 + x^{2^m}]^2 \equiv 1 + 2x^{2^m} + x^{2^{m+1}} \equiv 1 + x^{2^{m+1}} \pmod{2}$; so the result holds for $n = 2^{m+1}$. By the mathematical induction, the result holds whenever $n \ge 2$ is a power of 2.

Necessity. Suppose that $n \ge 2$ is not a power of 2. We need to show that there is r with $1 \le r \le n-1$ such that $C_{n,r}$ is odd. Let $m \ge 1$ be the largest integer such that $2^m < n$, and let $r = n - 2^m$. Then $1 \le r < 2^m$. We derive by the sufficiency that

$$(1+x)^{n} = (1+x)^{2^{m}}(1+x)^{r}$$

$$\equiv (1+x^{2^{m}})(\sum_{k=0}^{r} C_{r,k}x^{k})$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{r} C_{r,k}x^{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{r} C_{r,k}x^{k+2^{m}} \pmod{2}.$$

Since $r < 2^m$, we see that the coefficient of x^{2^m} in the last polynomial above is $C_{r,0} = 1$; so $C_{n,2^m} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, which completes the proof.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to the examination committee for writing these very interesting problems. The examination committee for this year's MMPC is: David Friday (Macomb CC), Dorin Dumitrascu (Adrian College), Bingwu Wang (Eastern Michigan University), Lazaros Kikas (University of Detroit Mercy).

Thanks to the MMPC co-directors: Andrew Poe (Northern Michigan University) and Daniel Rowe (Northern Michigan University).