Matroids, Positroids, and Beyond!

Anastasia Chavez (she/her/hers)

Saint Mary's College of California



MAA Metro NYC Virtual Section Meeting 28-April-2024

- ∢ ⊒ →

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶



Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Matroids, Positroids, and Beyond



Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Matroids, Positroids, and Beyond



Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Matroids, Positroids, and Beyond





Everywhere? YES!



Gian-Carlo Rota (1932 - 1999)

"It is as if one were to condense all trends of present day mathematics onto a single finite structure, a feat that anyone would a priori deem impossible, were it not for the mere fact that matroids exist." (circa 1986)

Matroids - the early years



Hassler Whitney (1907 - 1989)



Takeo Nakasawa (1913 - 1946)

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Really, there are matroids here?



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э

Really, there are matroids here? YES!



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э



Graphs

Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Graphs

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶



Graphs

13/37





Graphs

Graph = (Edges, Vertices)



Linear Spaces



Graphs

Graph = (Edges, Vertices)Dependent = Closed paths (CP)Min. Dependent = Cycles

28-April-2024



Graphs



 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Graph} &= (\mathsf{Edges}, \, \mathsf{Vertices}) \\ \mathsf{Dependent} &= \mathsf{Closed \ paths} \ (\mathsf{CP}) \\ \mathsf{Min. \ Dependent} &= \mathsf{Cycles} \\ &= \{ \mathit{cbd}, \mathit{cbe}, \mathit{ed}, \mathit{a} \} \end{aligned}$





Graphs

Graph = (Edges, Vertices)Dependent = Closed paths (CP)Min. Dependent = Cycles $= \{cbd, cbe, ed, a\}$

Independent = {paths w/o CPs, trees} Max. Indep. ={Max. vertex cover w/o CPs $\}$ = {spanning trees}



Linear Spaces



Graphs

Graph = (Edges, Vertices)Dependent = Closed paths (CP)Min. Dependent = Cycles $= \{cbd, cbe, ed, a\}$

Independent = {paths w/o CPs, trees} Max. Indep. ={Max. vertex cover w/o CPs $\}$ $= \{ spanning trees \}$ $= \{fcb, fce, fcd, fbd, fbe\}$ The search for (in)dependence: Which subsets are independent? dependent?



The search for (in)dependence: Which subsets are independent? dependent?



< 1 k

The search for (in)dependence: Which subsets are independent? dependent?



Let A = bdf, B = cef. Then A - d + e = bef is also a basis.

Matroids: basis description

Theorem (Nakasawa, Whitney 1935)

A matroid is a pair $M = ([n], \mathcal{B})$ such that

•
$$\mathcal{B} \subset 2^{[n]}, \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$$
,

• For all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, $a \in A \setminus B \Rightarrow b \in B \setminus A \text{ s.t. } A - a + b \in \mathcal{B}$.

Matroids: basis description

Theorem (Nakasawa, Whitney 1935)

A matroid is a pair $M = ([n], \mathcal{B})$ such that

•
$$\mathcal{B} \subset 2^{[n]}, \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$$
,

• For all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, $a \in A \setminus B \Rightarrow b \in B \setminus A$ s.t. $A - a + b \in \mathcal{B}$.





а	D	С	a	е	Т
0	1	1	0	0	0
0	0	1	2	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	1

trees:

bases:

max. lin. ind. cols:

$\{bcf, bdf, bef, cdf, cef\}$

< 4³ ► <

Matroids: independent set description

Definition

```
A matroid over [n] has independent sets \mathcal{I} \subset [n] such that
```

```
(\mathsf{I1}) \ \emptyset \in \mathcal{I}
```

```
(12) If J \subset I and I \in \mathcal{I}, then J \in \mathcal{I}
```

```
(13) If I, J \in \mathcal{I} and |I| > |J|, then there exists i \in I - J such that J \cup \{i\} \in \mathcal{I}.
```

く 何 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Matroids: independent set description

Definition

A matroid over [n] has independent sets $\mathcal{I} \subset [n]$ such that

(I1)
$$\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$$

(12) If
$$J \subset I$$
 and $I \in \mathcal{I}$, then $J \in \mathcal{I}$

(13) If $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ and |I| > |J|, then there exists $i \in I - J$ such that $J \cup \{i\} \in \mathcal{I}$.





 a
 b
 c
 d
 e
 f

 0
 1
 1
 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 1
 2
 1
 0

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 1

cycle-free edges:

independent sets:

indep. column sets:

 $\{\emptyset, b, c, d, e, f, bc, be, bf, cd, ce, cf, df, ef, bcf, bdf, bef, cdf, cef\}$

Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Matroids: a circuit description

Theorem

A matroid over [n] can be characterized by its set of circuits $C \subset [n]$, i.e. minimally dependent sets.

<日

<</p>

Matroids: a circuit description

Theorem

A matroid over [n] can be characterized by its set of circuits $C \subset [n]$, i.e. minimally dependent sets.



<日

<</p>

What matroids have we seen so far?

- Graphical Matroids
 - $E = \{ edges of connected graph G \} and B = trees of G.$



What matroids have we seen so far?

- Graphical Matroids
 - $E = \{ edges of connected graph G \}$ and $\mathcal{B} = trees of G$.
- 2 Linear Matroids
 - $E = \{ \text{set of vectors spanning } \mathbb{R}^d \} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} = \{ \text{bases of } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ in } E \}.$



What matroids have we seen so far?

- Graphical Matroids
 - $E = \{ edges of connected graph G \}$ and $\mathcal{B} = trees of G$.
- ② Linear Matroids $E = \{ \text{set of vectors spanning } \mathbb{R}^d \} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} = \{ \text{bases of } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ in } E \}.$
- Representable Matroids
 E = {labeled columns of a matrix A over a field F} and
 B = {bases spanning the column space of A}.



$\mathsf{Graphical} \subset \mathsf{Representable}$

Given a graph G with both vertices and edges labeled, one can describe a representable matroid over F_2 via the vertex-edge matrix!



Cycles of G are the sets of minimally dependent spanning columns of A.

Which Means ...



28-April-2024

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Most matroids are NOT this special

[Nelson 2018] Almost all matroids are non-representable. (as $|E| \rightarrow \infty$)

э

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

Most matroids are NOT this special

[Nelson 2018] Almost all matroids are non-representable. (as $|E| \rightarrow \infty$)



Vámos matroid

Facts:

- A non-representable rank 4 matroid (over any field) of 8 elements.
- All subsets of size 3 or less are independent.
- All subsets of size 4, except for those shown in the diagram below, are independent.
- A classic matroid to know!

Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Matroids, Positroids, and Beyond!

All you need is ... linear algebra!

- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

Does $M = ([4], \{12, 23, 34, 14\})$ define a representable matroid?

All you need is ... linear algebra!

- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

Does $M = ([4], \{12, 23, 34, 14\})$ define a representable matroid? Find entries of A such that these pairs are the only bases of the column space,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix}.$$

All you need is ... linear algebra!

- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

Does $M = ([4], \{12, 23, 34, 14\})$ define a representable matroid? Find entries of A such that these pairs are the only bases of the column space,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, find values for A such that Δ_{12} , Δ_{23} , Δ_{34} , Δ_{14} are all nonzero AND that $\Delta_{13} = \Delta_{24} = 0$.

- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

Does $M = ([4], \{12, 23, 34, 14\})$ define a representable matroid? Find entries of A such that these pairs are the only bases of the column space,

$$A = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, find values for A such that Δ_{12} , Δ_{23} , Δ_{34} , Δ_{14} are all nonzero AND that $\Delta_{13} = \Delta_{24} = 0$.

- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

What if we also wanted that Δ_{12} , Δ_{23} , Δ_{34} , Δ_{14} all nonzero and positive?

$$A=egin{pmatrix}1&0&1&0\0&1&0&1\end{pmatrix}.$$

- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

What if we also wanted that Δ_{12} , Δ_{23} , Δ_{34} , Δ_{14} all nonzero and positive?

$$A = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Oops! This gives us $\Delta_{23} = -1$. Can this be fixed?

- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

What if we also wanted that Δ_{12} , Δ_{23} , Δ_{34} , Δ_{14} all nonzero and positive?

$$A = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Oops! This gives us $\Delta_{23} = -1$. Can this be fixed?



- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

What if we also wanted that Δ_{12} , Δ_{23} , Δ_{34} , Δ_{14} all nonzero and positive?

$$A=egin{pmatrix} 1&0&1&0\0&1&0&1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Oops! This gives us $\Delta_{23} = -1$. Can this be fixed?

(check if it's possible by looking at the relations needed!)

- A great entry point for undergraduate students with linear algebra experience!
- Let Δ_{ij} denote the determinant of the submatrix of columns *i* and *j*.

Example

What if we also wanted that Δ_{12} , Δ_{23} , Δ_{34} , Δ_{14} all nonzero and positive?

$$A=egin{pmatrix} 1&0&1&0\0&1&0&1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Oops! This gives us $\Delta_{23} = -1$. Can this be fixed?



(check if it's possible by looking at the relations needed!)

By now you're asking: What about this extra condition?

Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Matroids, Positroids, and Beyond!

Definition (Postnikov 2005)

A representable (over \mathbb{R}) matroid M on [n] of rank k is a *positroid* if there exists a $k \times n$ matrix A such that all maximal minors are nonnegative and A represents M. Said differently: all $\Delta_B \ge 0$ for $B \in {[n] \choose k}$.

25 / 37

Definition (Postnikov 2005)

A representable (over \mathbb{R}) matroid M on [n] of rank k is a *positroid* if there exists a $k \times n$ matrix A such that all maximal minors are nonnegative and A represents M. Said differently: all $\Delta_B \ge 0$ for $B \in {[n] \choose k}$.

Example

The matroid M = ([5], B) where $B = \{13, 14, 15, 34, 35, 45\}$ is a positroid:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that $\Delta_B > 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and otherwise 0.

Definition (Postnikov 2005)

A representable (over \mathbb{R}) matroid M on [n] of rank k is a *positroid* if there exists a $k \times n$ matrix A such that all maximal minors are nonnegative and A represents M. Said differently: all $\Delta_B \ge 0$ for $B \in {[n] \choose k}$.

Example

The matroid M = ([5], B) where $B = \{13, 14, 15, 34, 35, 45\}$ is a positroid:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that $\Delta_B > 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and otherwise 0.

Which means we now know what we need to check to see why $M = ([4], \{12, 23, 34, 14\})$ does not describe a positroid!

Definition (Postnikov 2005)

A representable (over \mathbb{R}) matroid M on [n] of rank k is a *positroid* if there exists a $k \times n$ matrix A such that all maximal minors are nonnegative and A represents M. Said differently: all $\Delta_B \ge 0$ for $B \in {[n] \choose k}$.

Example

The matroid M = ([5], B) where $B = \{13, 14, 15, 34, 35, 45\}$ is a positroid:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that $\Delta_B > 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and otherwise 0.

Which means we now know what we need to check to see why $M = ([4], \{12, 23, 34, 14\})$ does not describe a positroid! EXERCISE

Definition

The uniform matroid $U_{k,n}$ is the rank k matroid over [n] such that the set of bases is $\mathcal{B} = {[n] \choose k}$.

Example

Consider $U_{2,4}$ with $\mathcal{B} = \{12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34\}.$

• Realizable?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Definition

The uniform matroid $U_{k,n}$ is the rank k matroid over [n] such that the set of bases is $\mathcal{B} = {[n] \choose k}$.

Example

Consider $U_{2,4}$ with $\mathcal{B} = \{12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34\}.$

• Realizable? Yes!

$$A_{rel} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Definition

The uniform matroid $U_{k,n}$ is the rank k matroid over [n] such that the set of bases is $\mathcal{B} = {[n] \choose k}$.

Example

Consider $U_{2,4}$ with $\mathcal{B} = \{12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34\}.$

• Realizable? Yes!

$$A_{rel} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Positroid?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Definition

The uniform matroid $U_{k,n}$ is the rank k matroid over [n] such that the set of bases is $\mathcal{B} = {[n] \choose k}$.

Example

Consider $U_{2,4}$ with $\mathcal{B} = \{12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34\}.$

• Realizable? Yes!

$$A_{rel} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Positroid? Yes! Check the determinants above!
- Graphical?

3

(1) マン・ション (1) マン・シュ

Definition

The uniform matroid $U_{k,n}$ is the rank k matroid over [n] such that the set of bases is $\mathcal{B} = {[n] \choose k}$.

Example

Consider $U_{2,4}$ with $\mathcal{B} = \{12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34\}.$

• Realizable? Yes!

$$A_{rel} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Positroid? Yes! Check the determinants above!
- Graphical? No! EXERCISE ... what breaks down in the graph?

く 目 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Positroids and physics



Artists rendition and notional visualization of an Amplituhedron

In 2013, Arkani-Hamed et. al. found a monumental link between particle physics and matroids, in particular positroids, that was described in *Quantum Magazine* in this way:

"Physicists have discovered a jewel-like geometric object that dramatically simplifies calculations of particle interactions and challenges the notion that space and time are fundamental components of reality." https://www.quantamagazine.org/physicists-discover-geometry-underlying-particle-physics-20130917/

MATROIDS IN SPACE



Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Combinatorics of positroids [Postnikov 2006]

Consider the positroid M(A) with $\mathcal{B} = \{13, 14, 15, 34, 35, 45\}$. Then it can be indexed by the following unique objects:

$$\mathcal{I}_M$$
: (13, 34, 34, 45, 51)

Grassmann Necklace



Decorated Permutation



Le Diagram



Plabic Graph

Positroids in the "wild"

- Polytopes: Combinatorially characterize *f*-vectors of simplicial polytopes. [C - Yamzon '17]
- Posets: Combinatorially characterize the poset of Unit Intervals as a family of positroids. [C - Gotti '17]
- Flag Matroids: Combinatorially describe a "quotient of positroids" in terms of "decorated permutations". [Benedetti - C - Tamayo '22]



C. Benedetti

F. Gotti

D. Tamayo N. Yamzon

Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Matroids, Positroids, and Beyond

28-April-2024

30 / 37



э

31 / 37

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト



A D N A B N A B N A B N



A D N A B N A B N A B N



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



< 4[™] >



A D N A B N A B N A B N



Anastasia Chavez (SMC)

Matroids, Positroids, and Beyond!