# SOUTH DAKOTA **SCHOOL OF MINES** AND TROUNDINGS



David Ballew, Head Dept. of Mathematical Sciences (605) 394-2471

Secretary - Treasurer

RAPID CITY, SOUTH DAKOTA 57701

Rocky Mountain Section Mathematical Association of America

Editor Pi Mu Epsilon Journal

June 18, 1984

Prof. Kenneth Ross Secretary Mathematical Association of America Dept. of Mathematics University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

Dear Prof. Ross:

Attached is the report of the Committee on Sections for the Summer 1984 Board Meeting. You will find:

- 1. A summary of the Seventh Annual Report of the Sections.
- 2. My observations and summary of comments from that report.
- 3. A list of persons whose section secretary highly recommended as speakers. (I would ask that you make this available to the Program Chairman of the next National Meetings).
- 4. A blank copy of the report.

The Summer Section Officers Meeting will be held from 3:30 to 5:30 on Thursday, August 16. The committee invites all board members to attend. We have an opening discussion question of "How Can Sections Best be a Voice for Mathematics?" However, our discussions quickly become far ranging and I'm sure the board would find this a great contact with the problems/successes of the sections.

I will be in Eugene on Wednesday, August 15 if you feel that I should attend the Governor's Meeting. I wait to hear from you.

Respectfully.

Dr. David Ballew

Chairman

Committee on Sections

DWB:tll

Enc.

### OBSERVATIONS ON THE SECTION OFFICERS SURVEY --

- 1. 25 of 29 sections responded to survey by June 15, 1984.
- 2. 6 sections have separate sessions for Dept. Representatives.
- 3. 8 sections have a meeting of Department Chairs.
- 4. 1 section has a meeting of "Zonial Reps".
- 5. 21 sections report very successful banquets or luncheons.
- 6. Only one section reports financial problems and is not able to carry through programs it feels are necessary. (Allegheny)
- 7. Question X of the survey asked what kinds of programs are usually successful at the Section Annual Meetings. The responses are:

Pedagogy and Math Education: 15

Expository: 18

Research: 8

Computer Related: 17

Applied Math: 9

History: 2

Other: 0

Three sections stated that they tried to balance their program.

One respondent noted that the success of a talk depended more on the speaker than on the subject.

8. Question XI of the survey asked what types of changes in programming had been observed in the past few years.

More undergraduate involvement: 17

More computer related papers: 13

More contributed papers: 8

Less technical papers: 7

No change:

More Applied Math:

More Invited Addresses: 3

Articulation with H.S.: 2

More Discrete Math:

More involvement with Legislature 1

- 9. Question IX asked if there were problems with which the Section needed help.
  - a. How do we get Ph.D. institutions to participate. This was specifically mentioned as a problem by 9 sections. Note that 11 sections rated participation by Ph.D. institutions as poor.
  - b. We need a national brochure listing mathematics requirements for degree programs.
  - c. We want a continuation of Section Officer Meetings at both National Meetings.
  - d. How do we increase attendance at the section meetings?

The Following Speakers and their talks were highly recommended by at least one Section.

Wilf, Herbert	Univ. of Penn	"The Exponential Formula"
Bentley, Jon	Carnegie-Mellon	"The Computational Discovery of Mathematical Laws"
Saaty, Thomas	Univ. of Pitt.	"Decision Making in Complex Environments"
Niven, Ivan	Univ. of Oregon	"Some Peculiar Properties of Rationals and Irrationals"
Feit, Walter	Yale University	"The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups"
Wolf, Jack	Univ. of Mass.	"Miltiaccess Communications"
Page, Warren	NYC Tech College	"Intuitive and Counterintuitive Mathematics"
Teply, Mark	Univ. of Florida	"Finiteness Conditions and Torsion"
Ferguson, Pamela	Univ. of Miami	"Character Theory and Finite Groups"
Quine, J.R.	Florida State U.	"Length and Area"
Ritter, Gerhard	Univ. of Florida	"Topology, Algebra and Image Processir
Kletzing, Dennis	Stetson Univ.	"Rational Representations of Finite Groups"
Maurer, Stephen	Swarthmore Coll.	"Discrete Mathematics in the First Two Years"
Thompson, Denisse	Manatee JC	"Mathematics Education in the Soviet Union"
Figg, Mary		"Aspects of Legislation Affecting Mathematics Education"
Pollack, H.O.	Bell Labs	"On the Addressing Problem in Loop Switching"
Alder, Henry	Univ. of Calif.	"How to Discover and Prove Theorems"
Ralston, Anthony	SUNY/Buffalo	"Is There a Discrete Mathematics Course in Your Future?"
Banchoff, Thomas	Brown Univ.	"Interactive Computer Graphics in Differential Geometry Teaching and Research"
Pederson, Jean	Univ. of Santa Clara	Any talk of her choice
Hilton, Peter	SUNY/Binghampton	Any talk of his choice
Niven, Ivan	Univ. of Oregon	Any talk of his choice
Schoenfeld, Alan	Univ. of Rochester	"Mathematical Understanding Through Problem Solving"
Keen, Linda	Lehman College	"Elliptic Functions Revisited"
Varberg, Dale	Hamline Univ.	"Pick's Theorem Revisited"
Kreider, Donald	Dartmouth College	"New Directions - New Challenges in College Mathematics"
		•

#### Recommended Speakers continued --

Ross, Kenneth Agnew, Jeanne Moursund, David Hilton, Peter Henrikson, Bonnie

Lucas, Dean

Wells, Ron Steen, Lynn

Steen, Lynn

O'Hallovan, Peter Schattschneider, Doris

Heintz, Ruth
Graves, Jack
Gillman, Leonard
Schacher, Murray
Birkhoff, Garrett
Meyer, Carl
Burgstahler, Sylvan

Page, Warren

Univ. of Oregon
Oklahoma State
Univ. of Oregon
SUNY/Binghampton
SD School of Mines
and Technology

Rice Univ. St. Olaf

St. Olaf

Australia
Moravian College

SUNY/Buffalo
Syracuse Univ.
Texas Univ.
UCLA
Harvard
NC State/ Raleigh
U. of Minn/Duluth
NYC Tech College

Any Subject of His Choice Any Subject of Her Choice

"Computer Graphics in Mathematics"

"Early History of Computers"

"Making Sense Out of Dollars: A Study of Faculty Salaries"

"New Mathematical Techniques in Image Processing"

"Geometry and the Universe"

"1+1 = 0: Learning Our Lesson From the New 'New Math'"

"Math and Computer Science: A Case Study in Marriage and Divorce"

"The Problem of Problem Setting"

"The Conway Criterion"

"Do We Really Teach Problem Solving?"
"A Good Proof on Dimensions"
Any Subject of His Choice

"The Mordell Conjecture"

Any Subject What-so-ever

Any Subject of His Choice

"Solving Polynomial Equations"

"Nearness Relations Among Measures of Central Tendency"

Undergid	3 рар	Yes	5 pap	No.	2 pap	1	2 pap	None are ???	1 pap	8 ded	1 рар		3 pap	Yes	;
ATION Indus.	P %0	ட	of	P 1%	۵		۹ <sub>96</sub>	P. 52.	<b>♂</b> 0	٠.	۳- <u>بې</u>		۵	<b>u.</b>	10° P
PARTICIPATION 4-yr. Indus	70.%	ശ	G F 5% Meetings % up to 30%)	805. 805.	<u>і</u> ш.	ł	305	 	G 713 teachers)	<b>ა</b>	40≅		ധ	5	20.2
PP 2-yr	308 308	ட	6 6 0% 35: 2 (at Regional teachers is	105 105	<b>₽</b>		18. 	F 25% Teacners	153 S. teac	<u>ь</u>	335	1	t.	۵	o 100 € € €
PhD	0 %	ш	ന	σ. <u>∞</u>	ш.		۲ 14%	25% (H.S. T	Р (8% Н.	Ľ.	യ് ച	1	s.	۵	6 50%
SECTION WEAKNESSES	No short courses Little Partic. by Ph.D Universities	None are apparent	Regional Mtgs are down from 7. Must maintain continuity	No Newsletter No Short courses	Small Attend. No support from large Univ.		No activities other than annual mtg.	Need more Partic.	Need more partic. by secondary and industry people	Lack of Partic. by some institutions	Lack of Partic. by Ph.D. Institutions		Need better comm. with 2-yr. colleges M	Lack of Partic. by Ph.D Univ. and by community colleges	
REPORTS, 1984 SECTION STRENGTHS	Excellent Section meetings	Two regular mtgs.	Annual Mtgs. plus Regional Meetings	H.S. Math Contest Lecture Program	Holding Spring Mtgs. with Ind. Small Coll. Math Exam.		Meet with Siam and ASA	Good Section Mtg.	Annual Meeting	Annual Meeting	Spring mtg. with AMS		Zonal Reps. Invol.   with legis. issues.   Connection with MCTM	Meetings and News- letter	
Z Z Z	\$5	\$5	82	\$5	\$2	1	\$2	S4	SI	\$5 ts	\$2		SS	\$3	No.
MAA SECTIO VIS.LECT. P PROGRAM	Yes(0)	ON .	Yes(?)	Yes(35)	ON .		No	Yes(?)	ON O	Yes(55) 63 requests	NO N		Yes(20)	Yes(18)	ON
SUMMARY OF NEWSLT.	Yes(2)	Yes(2)	Yes(3)	No	Yes(2)		O N	Yes(1)	Yes(3)	Yes(2)	Yes(2)		Yes(2)	Yes(2)	Yes(2)
MEETING HIGHLIGHTS	Good speakers and good panel discuss.	Excellent Student papers. Theme was Math and Comm. Thy.	11 invited addresses Yes( Special sessions on computing, discrete math. Undergrad stud. session (5 papers)	Well balanced prog.	Student speakers Book Pub. at Mtg.			Discussion of Computer impact on Math. Curr.	Tony Ralston's talk on Discrete Math.	Mini-conf. funded by MAA on Develop. mathematics	Spring mtgs with AMS.		7 invited talks Special session on legislative issues involving educ.	Annual mtg. with Missouri Acad. of Science	Met with Neb. Acad of Science
ATTEND.	06	179 90	169 + 30 124 70	75	36 40		49	80	<sub>∞</sub>	175	120 210		115	. 70	92
NO. MTGS. A	1-2day	2-1day	1-2day 1 plus 3 regional meetings	1-2day	2-1day		1-2day	1-2day	1-2day	1-2day	2-2day		1-2day	1-2day	1-2day
SIZE	403	651	504	929	364	126	198	217	174	327	1,443	1,049	581	319	112
SECTION	Alleg. Mt.	E. Penn/Del	Florida	Illinois	Indiana	Intermtn.	Iowa	งก เก ะ เก ะ เง	Kentucky	_a/W15.5	Md/DC/Va	Metro NY	Micnigan	Missouri	Nebraska

:	SECTION	SIZE	NO. MTGS.	ATTEND.	MEETING HIGHLIGHTS	SUMMARY OF NEWSLT.	MAA SECT VIS.LECT. PROGRAM	ION R REG.	ION REPORTS, 1984 REG. SECTION FEE STRENGTHS	SECTION WEAKNESSES	OHD	P) P) 2-yr	PARTICIPATION 4-yr. Indu	TION Indus.	Underan
	New Jersey	636	2-1day	70	Talk on trip to No China. Motion supp. leg. to subsidize teachers for certification	No fication	No	o N	High caliber of talks.	Low attendance		G 40% was 15%)	न <u>१</u> % १%	ح بين	tried!
	N. Central	507	2-2day	78 119	Variety of talks.	Ýes(2)	ON O	S3	Strong Partic. Variety of talks.	Distances. Lack of partic. by Ph.D Institutions.	<del>ري</del> پور	т <b>г</b> %	9 86 9	Δ ↔	2 pap. others attend.
	No. Calif 1	1,108	! ! !	!		 	<u>!</u>	;			ı	ı	;	. 1	1
	Northeast 1	1,403	2-2day	90 112	Computer workshps. Student papers	Yes(2)	Yes(0)	\$3	course cer Workshps.	Low attendance F/P Communication betwn 10%	F/P 10%	F/P 10%	G . 773°	೭ ಜ್ಞ	3 paps
·	0hio	727	2-2day 5	103 122÷ 52 stud.	1	Yes(3)	No	\$2	Cont. papers Excellent programs Short courses. Math. contests.	institutions. Lack of support from Ph.D. inst.	25. 5.	P. 75°.	ប	۵	14 paps.
,	Okla/Ark	260	1-2day	125	Invited addresses	Yes(1)	Yes(54)	SS	Section Meetings Lots of people participate	Getting program complete on time.	50 50 50	۵	6 50ءَ	۵	7 paps.
	Pac. NW	784	1-2day	135	Short course on Computer Graphics	Yes	ON	Yes	Annual Meeting	No Annual meeting when National mtg. is in area.	P 205	30°	G 50%	Д 	None
	Rocky Mtn.	335	1-2day	110	Invited addresses	Yes(4)	Yes (25)	\$5	Membership interest	Lack of partic. by Ph.D. Institutions	T 5.	85. 	ഗ്ര	۵	9 paps
	Seaway 1	1,179	2-2day	70 98	Excellent talks	Yes(2)	N O	84	Excellent Mtgs.	Little response to student session	ਜ ਦੀ ਹੈ:	20°.	60% 60%	Q	4 paps
·	So. Calif 1	1,111	2-1day	100	Excellent invited speakers	Yes(2)	ON N	S6	Meetings	Little outside activities	9 4 50 5	т. 01	6 . 40°.	1000	Tried
	Southeast 1	1,330	1-2day	223	Outside speakers. Yessions on computers Contributed papers	Yes(2) ers	NO NO	\$2	Invited addresses	Lack of Partic. by large univ. Need more student partic.	10.	F 15	元 5 5 5 7 7	تان م	l pap
·	Southwest	327	1-2day	50	1	No	No	\$2	.	Poor attendance	35.	10°	F. 503	ਰ ਨੂੰ	none
	Texas	874		}	1	!	;	;		1	;	:	;	1	1 1
	Wisconsin	359	1-2day	180	;	Yes(2)	Yes	S5	Discrete math workshop	1	LL.	ഗ	Ŋ	۵	6 paps.
			TOTAL	3,724							٠				
										,					
										:					

## Seventh Annual Report of MAA Sections Year Ending May 15, 1984

Nume	of	Section:							<del></del>			·
	and		of Section					s repor	t:			<del></del>
		l Address	of Section	n Repr	esenta	tive wh	no is to				y for E	ugene
			ld by Repr									
		INGS										
	(1) Date		regular Se			1	l during			se give:		
1	_oca	tion(s)										
ļ	Appr	ox. Atten	dance									
(		meetings,	scribe any and give response t	some	indica <sup>.</sup>	tion of	their	success	s. (If r	nore spa	ce is ne	eded
	# \$											
(	· i	If your Se and accomp etc. under	ection had olishments ^ III.)	any s	special ach sud	l meeti ch meet	ngs, pl ing. (	ease gi Report	ve date, workshop	, locatio os, shor	on, purpe t course:	ose, s,
								•				
		•										
		•									,	i.
(	4) 1 I	Does your Department	Section h t Chairs?	ave a	meetir	ng or s	ession	for MAA	Represe	entatives	and/or	
	ı	MAA Repres	sentative:		Yes	No						
	I	Department	t Chairs:		Yes	No			•			

	(5)	Does your Section sponsor a banquet or luncheon for participants?  If so, is it successful?
II.	NEH	JSLETTER
	(1)	Does your Section publish a newsletter? If so, how many times did it appear this year?
	(2)	If the answer to (1) is "yes," please give the editor's name and address:
	(3)	Does your newsletter take care of all regular communications within the Section? If not, how many other general mailings are necessary during the year?
III.	SEC	TION ACTIVITIES
	(1)	Does your Section sponsor a Visiting Lecturer program for secondary schools in the region? If so, approximately how many visits were made this year?
•	(2)	Does the Section sponsor a Visiting Lecturer program for colleges in the region?  If so, approximately how many visits were made this year?
	(3)	Is the Section involved in other education programs or activities, either alone or in cooperation with other organizations?  If so, please describe: (If you have pertinent printed materials such as publicity brochures, please attach copies.)
•		
•		
IV.	FIN	ANCES
	(1)	Do you charge a registration fee at Section meetings? If so, how much for regular members? For students?
	(2)	Does your Section assess annual dues? If so, how much?
		Is the income adequate to meet the expenses of the present level of activities of the Section?
	(4)	If the answer to (3) is "no," what steps are being taken by the Section to solve the problem?

(15)	) Are there specific activities or curtailed for financial re					
(6)	Did your Section apply this your Sections? Was the					
	If so, for what was the grant	money	use	ed?		
STR	RENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES					
(1)	On the basis of your Section's would you say have been its stathis year?					
(2)	What do you consider to be the your Section at this time?	e weak	est	featur	es or m	nost serious problems facing
	•					
(3)	Please characterize as Good, Factivity this year by members rough percentage of the number	who a	re -	in the	categor	ies listed. Can you give a
		Parti	cipa	ation	Ap	prox. % of Attendance
	Ph.D. Institutions	G,	F	P		
	Two-Year Colleges	G	F	P		
	Other Colleges/Universities	G	F	P		
	Non-Academic Empl.	G	F	P		0
	High School	G	F	P		
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

٧.

VI.	Did your Section make any effort this year to include undergraduates on the program at a Section meeting?  If so, please give details (e.g. number of student papers, description of special activities for the undergraduate, etc.):
VII.	Does your Section assign a person or committee specific responsibility for membership recruitment?  If so, give the name, address, and title (membership chairman 2nd VP, or whatever) of the individual with primary responsibility in this area:
III.	Do you and your Section Chairman have in your possession the blue Handbook for Section Officers?
XI.	MAA program committees and other Sections are constantly on the lookout for superior speakers. Were there any outstanding persons on your program this year whom you would recommend for invitations to speak at National or Sectional meetings?
. <del>~</del> .	If so, please give the name and institutional affiliation of each such person together with the titles of talks and other pertinent information. Summaries of this item will be returned to you.

- X. Which types of programs or papers seem to be the most successful at your meetings? (Mark as many as you wish.)
  - 1. Pedagogy
  - 2. Expository
  - 3. Research
  - 4. Computer Related
  - 5. Applied Math
  - 6. Math Education
  - 7. History

8.	Other	
		_

Have there been any significant changes or trends in the types of programs presented over the past 3 to 5 years?

XI. The primary job of this committee is to help Sections maintain and increase their effectiveness. Are there things you think we might do which would be of help to your or other Sections? Please be as specific as possible, both in identifying problem areas and in suggesting how we might help.

XII. Many Sections have developed written guidelines and/or procedures that have been proven very helpful and useful; i.e. guidelines for host institutions, job descriptions of section officers, etc. Would you send me any such materials you or your Section have developed? The Committee on Sections would like to distribute such materials and ideas to all Sections.

XIII. Please attach a copy of your Section programs to this report.

### MAIL TO:

Dr. David Ballew Department of Mathematical Sciences South Dakota School of Mines and Technology Rapid City, South Dakota 57701