### Malfatti-Steiner Problem

### I.A.Sakmar

## University of South Florida Department of Physics 4202 E Fowler Ave.Tampa,FL 33620

#### Abstract

Based on Julius Petersen's work we give detailed proofs of his statements on the proof of Steiner's conjecture.

M.S.C.2000: 51A99 Key words: Malfatti circles, Steiner's line, inversion.

Malfatti problem was posed by the Italian mathematician Giovanni Francesco Malfatti (1731-1807) in 1803.

The problem has two aspects. One is to maximize the area of three circles within a triangle. Malfatti thought that the answer was three mutually touching circles which are also tangent to the sides of the triangle. This was later shown to be incorrect (Lob and Richmond 1929).

The other is to give a Euclidean construction of these three circles. Malfatti gave only an analytic solution, by calculating the radii of the circles. The key to the solution was found by Jacob Steiner (1796-1863). Steiner did not prove his discovery. It is an amazing and very unlikely discovery. One might think that he perhaps guessed it by a construction. But it is such an intriguing relation that even after one knows it, it is not easy to see it, even with a large and exact construction. Steiner also indicated the way by which his conjecture could be proved. The proof was given according to some sources by Hart in 1856 and others (Julius Petersen) by Schroeter in 1874. No matter who gave the proof first, the long time interval (30, or 48 years) between the conjecture and the proof is a testimony to the complexity of the problem.

Here I would like to look at the solution of Julius Petersen (1839-1910). This Danish geometer is best known for his small problem book with about 400 problems, many of which are challenging. At the end of his book he outlines in a few lines his "simpler" solution. There is not even a single figure in the French translation I used.

This paper is based on his work. I tried to fill in all the needed proofs to clarify the construction in my mind. Most of them are based on inversion. They may or may not be what Peterson had in mind.

I start with a simple figure and every time an element of the proof is introduced I give a new figure. This way when the figure finally gets very complex the reader hopefully will be familiar with all the elements of the last figure. I also give separate figures for the proofs by inversion.





Call the circles  $S_a,\,S_{b\!,}\,$  and  $S_c$  .

Let their mutual contact points be a ,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  , and ?.

Let the points where they touch the sides AB and CA be  $C_1,\,C_2\;\;$  and  $\beta_1,\;\beta_2\;$ 



Fig.2 Draw the circle which is tangent to the circles  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$  at their contact point  $\beta$  and goes through the point  $C_2$ .

Call this circle  $S_{M,\,}$  and its center M.

Call the intersection of  $S_M$  with the side CA D.

Draw two tangent lines to the circle SM at points  $C_2$  and D.

Call the intersection point of these tangent lines F.

We claim that the circle  $S_M$  intersects the circle  $S_b$  and the side CA under equal angles.

That is, the angle  $\angle AC_2F$  is complementary to the angle  $\angle FDA$ .



# Fig.3 Proof:

### Theorem:

Given two circles  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$  which intersect each other at points A, and B. Any circle C which goes through A and B intersects all circles like  $C_a$ , and  $C_\beta$  which are tangent to  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$  under equal angles. (Complementary angles if the circles are on opposite sides.)



FIG.4



- $S_1$  becomes a line  $l_1$
- $S_2$  becomes a line  $l_2$
- C becomes a line 1

The point A, being the inversion center goes to infinity.

The point B becomes B'.

The lines  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$ , and  $l_2$  go through the point B', because the circles  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and

C go through the point B.

The circles  $C_a$  and  $C_\beta$  become again circles C'<sub>a</sub>, and C'<sub>b</sub> since they don't go

through the inversion center A.

The circles C'a, and C' $_{\beta}$  are tangent to the lines  $l_1$ , and  $l_2$  because the circles

 $C_a, \mbox{ and } C_{\beta}$  were tangent to the circles  $S_1, \mbox{ and } S_2$  before the inversion.

From the inverted drawing it is seen that the line 1 intersects the circles C'a,

and  $\ C^{\,\prime}{}_{\beta}$  under equal angles .

Since the inversion does not change angles, the uninverted circle C intersects the

uninverted circles  $C_a$ , and  $C_\beta$  under equal angles. (If  $C_a$ , and  $C_\beta$  are on opposite

sides, the angles are complementary.)

In our case the circles  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$  are  $S_a$ , and  $S_c$ . The points A and B coincide at the point  $\beta$ , since in our case  $S_a$ , and  $S_c$  are tangent.

The tangent circles  $C_a$ , and  $C_\beta$  are in our case  $S_b$ , and the line CA (degenerate circle with its center at infinity).

The circle C is in our case S<sub>M</sub>.

Thus we showed that the circle  $S_M$  intersects  $S_b$ , and CA under complementary angles.

That is  $\angle FC_2A + \angle FDA = 180^\circ$ 



Fig.5 Consider the quadrilaterals  $MC_2FD$  and  $MC_2FD$ .

We just proved that the opposed angles at  $C_2$  and D in the quadrilateral AC<sub>2</sub>FD add up to  $180^{\circ}$ .

Now in the quadrilateral MC<sub>2</sub>FD the tangent C<sub>2</sub>F is  $\perp$  to the radius MC<sub>2</sub>.

Thus the angle  $\angle$  MC<sub>2</sub>F = 90°.

Similarly FD  $\perp$  MD. Thus the angle  $\angle$  FDM = 90°.

Hence the sum of these two opposite angles is  $180^{\circ}$ .

Also, the two quadrilaterals have the angle  $\angle C_2FD$  common.

Thus  $\angle C_2 MD = \angle C_2 AD$ .

Now connect A to F. We will prove that AF is the bisector of the angle  $\angle C_2MD = \angle A$ .

Let D' be the point on AB with  $FC_2 = FD'$ . The triangles AD'F = ADF. Because:

- 1) AF = AF is common to both triangles.
- 2) FD = FD'. Because  $FD=FC_2$  are tangents to the circle  $S_M$  and we took  $FD' = FC_2$ .
- 3) ∠AD'F = ∠ADF. Because the triangle C<sub>2</sub>FD' being isosceles ∠ADF is complementary to ∠AC<sub>2</sub>F. But we also showed that ∠FDA is complementary to ∠AC<sub>2</sub>F.
  Hence AD'F = ADF

Fig.5 We now claim that the points a,  $\beta$ ,  $C_1$ , and  $C_2$  lie on a circle. We shall call this circle  $S_t$  and prove the claim below.



Fig.6 Theorem:

Given two mutually tangent circles  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$  which touch at ?. Consider the circles  $S_c$ , and  $S_d$  which touch  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$  ats the points a,  $\beta$ , d, and e. The points a,  $\beta$ , d, and e lie on a circle.

The proof is again by inversion. Take ? as the center of inversion.  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$  become under inversion two lines  $l_a$ , and  $l_b$ . the point ? goes to infinity. Since  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$  do not have a second intersection point (they are tangent) they become parallel lines under inversion.

 $S_c$ , and  $S_d$  are tangent to both  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$ . therefore their images must be tangent to the inverted images of  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$ .



FIG.7

Fig.7 Obviously the points a',  $\beta$ ', d', and e' lie on a circle. Because they lie at the corners of a rectangle. Hence the inverted image of this circle goes through the points a,  $\beta$ , d, and e.

In our case  $S_d$  is degenerate (it is the line  $C_1C_2$  tangent to the circles Sa, and  $S_b$ ). Thus we established that the points a,  $\beta$ ,  $C_1$ , and  $C_2$  lie on a circle, we called  $S_t$ .



Fig.8 Draw the circle through  $\beta$ , D, and  $\beta_2$ . Call this circle S<sub>u</sub>.  $S_u$  intersects  $S_t$  at E. Connect  $C_1$  to E, also  $\beta_2$  to E. Connect  $C_2$  to  $\beta$ , also E to  $\beta$ . We will prove that E lies on the circle with the center A, which goes through  $C_1$ , and  $\beta_2$ .  $\angle C_1 E\beta = 180^\circ - \angle C_1 C_2 \beta$ From the circle S<sub>t</sub>  $\angle \beta_2 E\beta = 180^\circ - \angle \beta_2 D\beta$ From the circle S<sub>u</sub>  $\angle C_1 E\beta + \angle \beta_2 E\beta = 360^\circ - (\angle C_1 C_2 \beta + \angle \beta_2 D\beta)$ Adding But  $\angle C_1 E\beta + \angle \beta_2 E\beta = 360^\circ - \angle C_1 E\beta_2$ Hence  $\angle C_1 E \beta_2 = \angle C_1 C_2 \beta + \angle \beta_2 D \beta$ But  $\angle C_1 C_2 \beta + \angle \beta_2 D \beta = 360^\circ - \angle A - \angle C_2 \beta D$  $\angle C_2\beta D$  is an angle in the circle  $S_M$  and  $\angle C_2\beta D = 180^\circ - \frac{1}{2} \angle A$ Finally  $\angle C_1 E \beta_2 = 360^\circ - \angle A - 180^\circ + \angle \frac{1}{2}A = 180^\circ - \angle \frac{1}{2}A$ 

This shows that E is on the circle with the center A and which goes through  $C_1$  and  $\beta_2$ .



Fig.9

Fig.9 Consider the circle  $S_{t.}$ 

 $C_1C_2 = EL$  Because  $AC_1 = AE$ 

We prove now that  $C_2K = C_1C_2$ 

To show that these two segments of the circle  $S_t$  are equal, we will prove that the angles they make with the tangent to  $S_t$  at  $C_2$  are equal. That is ? = ?'



Fig.10 We invert the system with respect to the inversion center ? The circle  $S_a$  becomes a line  $l_a$ . The circle  $S_b$  becomes a line  $l_b$ . These two lines are parallel because the only common point ? of the two circles S<sub>a</sub> and S<sub>b</sub> goes to infinity. The line  $C_1C_2$  becomes a circle. Thus  $S_b$  and  $C_1C_2$  exchange roles under inversion. Before the inversion the line  $C_1C_2$  is tangent to the circle S<sub>b</sub>. After the inversion the circle  $C'_1C'_2$  is tangent to the line  $l_b$ . Also, the line  $C_1C_2$  was tangent to the circles  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$  before the inversion... After the inversion the circle  $C'_1C'_2$  becomes tangent to the parallel lines  $l_a$ , and  $l_b$ . The circle  $S_M$  through the points  $C_2$  and  $\beta$  becomes a circle  $S'_M$  through the points C'<sub>2</sub>, an  $\beta$ '. The circle  $S_t$  through the points  $C_1, C_2$  and  $\beta$  becomes a circle  $S'_t$  through the points  $C'_1$ ,  $C'_2$ , and  $\beta'$ .

The circle  $S_C$  which was tangent to the circles  $S_a$ , and  $S_b$  before the inversion becomes a circle  $S'_C$  tangent to the parallel lines  $l_a$ , and  $l_b$  after the inversion. Consider now the inverted drawing.

Because  $l_a$  is tangent to S'<sub>M</sub> at  $\beta$ ', a perpendicular line to this tangent goes through the center M' of S'<sub>M</sub>.

Take the tangent line (4) to  $S'_M$  at  $C'_2$  and draw a perpendicular line (3) to this tangent. This perpendicular line goes through the center M' of  $S'_M$ . Note also that  $C'_2\beta'$  is a diameter of  $S'_t$ .

In the uninverted drawing ? is the angle between  $C_2F$  (tangent to  $S_M$  at  $C_2$ ) and the tangent to  $S_t$  at  $C_2$ .

When this is inverted ? is the angle between the line (4) (tangent to  $S'_M$  at  $C'_2$ ) and the line (2) (tangent to  $S'_t$  at  $C'_2$ ).

The line (1) C'<sub>2</sub> $\beta$ ' is  $\perp$  to the line (2), because a radius of S'<sub>t</sub> is  $\perp$  to the tangent of S'<sub>t</sub>.

Also the line (3) C'<sub>2</sub>M' is  $\perp$  to the line (4), because a radius of S'<sub>M</sub> is  $\perp$  to the tangent of S'<sub>M</sub>.

Hence  $? = ?_1$ 

Next in the uninverted drawing , ?' is the angle between the tangent to  $S_t$  at  $C_2$  and  $C_1C_2$  which is the tangent line to the circle  $S_b$ .

When this is inverted, the tangent to  $S_t$  at  $C_2$  becomes the tangent to  $S'_t$  at  $C'_2$  which is the line (2).

 $C_1C_2$ , which was the tangent line to the circle  $S_b$  becomes the circle  $C'_1C'_2$  tangent to the line  $l_b$ . Thus ?' is the angle between the lines (2) and  $l_b$ . Now  $M'B' \perp l_b$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{M b} \ \perp \ \perp_{b} \\ \text{Line (1)} \ \perp \ \text{Line (2)} \\ ?_{1} = ?'_{1} \end{array}$$

But we already found that  $? = ?_1$ . Thus  $? = ?_1 = ?'_1 = ?'$ 

since the inversion does not change angles at intersections of curves, we find that also in the uninverted drawing

this proves that  $C_2 K = C_2 C_1$ 

Because these segments are the corresponding segments to the tangent line to  $S_t$  at  $C_2$  with equal angles.

We now observe that the circles  $S_t$  and  $S_u$  play similar roles with respect to circle  $S_M$  and the point F. We proved that  $C_2C_1=C_2K$  where  $C_2K$  is on the tangent from F to CM. Exactly the same proof can be given to show that  $D\beta_2=DV$  where DV is on the tangent from F to  $C_M$ . consequently EU=DV.



Fig.11. There remains one more point to be proven. Namely, the line AE goes through F. This we prove now: Suppose AE does not go through F. Let us call the intersection points of AE with

the tangent line  $C_2F$  G and with the tangent line FD H. It then follows:

- (1)  $FC_2 = FD$  (Tangents to the same circle  $S_M$ )
- (2)  $GC_2 = GE$  (Because of the equality of the segments  $C_2K = EL$ )
- (3) HD = HE (Because of the equality of the segments EU = DV)

Subtracting (1)-(2)  $GC_2 - FC_2 = FG = FD-GE = FH+HD-(GH+HE)$ FG = FH-GH+HD-HEFG+GH = FH

This tells us that the sum of the two sides of a triangle equals its third side. Hence F, G and H are one and the This means that E is on the bisector AF.



Fig.12. Now we show that the segment XY of the bisector of the angle  $\angle B$  is also equal to the segments we discussed. Namely:

$$XY = C_2C_1 = C_2K = EL$$

The reason for this is as follows:

The segment  $C_2C_1$  plays similar roles with respect to the two sides of our triangle (of A and B).

We focused on the circles  $S_a\,$  and  $S_u\,$  on the A side. We thus found that

$$AC_1 = AE$$
 and  $C_2C_1 = EL$ .

Had we constructed the analogue of the circle  $S_u$  on the B corner side, we would have found the counterpart of

$$C_2C_1 = EL$$
 which is  $C_2C_1 = XY$ 

Next, construct the tangent line to  $S_a$  and  $S_c$  at  $\beta$ . This gives us the segment  $\beta Z$ , and

$$\beta Z = C_1 C_2.$$

The reason for this is as follows:

Consider the circles  $S_t$  and  $S_a$ . they intersect at  $C_1$  and  $\beta$ . If we construct at  $C_1$  and  $\beta$  tangents to  $S_a$  they are symmetric with respect to the line connecting the centers of  $S_t$ , and  $S_a$ . Therefore

$$\beta Z = C_1 C_2.$$

Thus we have the following segments of  $S_t$  which are all equal.

$$C_1C_2 = EL = C_2K = XY = \beta Z$$

Consequently we can draw a circle which is tangent to all those segments. Let us call this circle  $S_v$ . Obviously  $S_v$  has the same center as the circle  $S_t$ . this circle is also seen to be the in-circle of the triangle ABO where O is the intersection point of the bisectors of the triangle ABC.

Moreover the tangent to the circles  $S_a$ , and  $S_c$  at  $\beta$  is also tangent to this circle  $S_v$ .

Finally this very tangent is also tangent to the in-circle of the triangle OBC, which we will call  $S_w$ . The reason for this is that the roles of  $S_v$  and  $S_w$  with respect to the circles  $S_a$ , and  $S_c$  are similar. Had we focused on  $S_w$  instead of on  $S_v$  we would find that the tangent line to  $S_a$  and  $S_c$  at  $\beta$  is also tangent to the circle  $S_w$ . This finally proves Steiner's conjecture.



Fig.13 Steiner's Conjecture:

Suppose the problem of finding three Malfatti circles is solved. Then the tangent line to two of these three circles , say  $S_a$  and  $S_c$  at their contact point  $\beta$  is tangent to both of the circles  $S_v$ , and  $S_w$  inscribed into the triangles ABO and OBC where O is the intersection point of the bisectors of the angles of the given triangle ABC.



Fig.14 Since Steiner's line is so difficult to recognize in an actual triangle ,we give here an exaggerated picture of it. Here  $S_a$  and  $S_c$  are two of the Malfatti-Steiner circles. The other circles are two of the circles inscribed into the triangles formed by one side of the triangle and two of the bisectors.



Fig.15 Construction:

Constructing the three bisectors AO, BO, and CO of the triangle ABC, three triangles are found. Construct the in-circle  $S_v$  of the triangle ABO. Construct the in-circle  $S_w$  of the triangle OBC.

Construct one of the common inner tangents of the circles  $S_v$  and  $S_w$ . Call this line the Steiner line.

Steiner line is also tangent to one of the three Malfatti circles. Thus the problem is to construct the circle which touches two sides, say AB and CA of the triangle ABC and the Steiner line.

To construct the common inner tangent of two given circles with centers  $C_1$ , and  $C_2$  and radii  $R_1$ , and  $R_2$  we draw around the circle  $C_1$  a circle with radius  $R_1 + R_2$ .

We next draw a tangent from the point  $C_2$  to this circle. Finally we draw a parallel line to this tangent at a distance  $R_2$  on the circle's side. This gives the common inner tangent of the given circle.

# A C K N O W L E D G M E N T S

I dedicate this paper to my late friend Umit Camat, who gave me Julius Petersen's book as a present. Without him I would not have the motivation for working on this problem. I am grateful to Dr.Denver Jones for proof-reading the manuscript I am grateful to Drs.Pritish Mukherjee and Dr.Robert Chang for their support. I would like to acknowledge and express my thanks to Dr.M.Elhamdadi and Dr.S.Suen for making it possible for me to give a talk in the Mathematics Depatments colloquium. I would also like to thank Jason Bevans for generating the very complicated geometric drawings with the computer.

## References

Julius Petersen, "Methodes et theories pour la resolution des problemes de constructions geometriques, 1880." (Translated from Danish.) Editions Jacques Gabay.

Hart, Quarterly J.Math.1 (1856) 219

Schellbach, Crelle Journal fuer reine und angewandte Mathematik. Vol.45

See for instance in "100 Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics. Their History and Solution", Heinrich Doerry. Translation published by Dover Books.